

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	. F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/675,956	75,956 10/02/2003		Benjamin P. Reese	REES3002/EM	5927
23364	7590	06/17/2004		EXAMINER	
BACON 6	& THOMA	AS, PLLC	WHITE, RODNEY BARNETT		
625 SLAT	ERS LANE FLOOR	3		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ALEXANI		22314	3636		

DATE MAILED: 06/17/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Λ					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summan	10/675,956	REESE, BENJAMIN P.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Rodney B. White	3636					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address Y					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed  rs will be considered timely. I the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 O</u>	ctober 2003.						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	osecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	• • •						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.	.,						
•							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine		_					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		<b>'</b>					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex							
TT) The bath of declaration is objected to by the Ex	anniner. Note the attached Office	ACION OF IOME PTO-132.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document. 2. Certified copies of the priority document. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document.	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receive	ion No					
application from the International Bureau  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ed.					
See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ou.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		ate Patent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)						

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, lines 4-5, the Applicant claims that "the front and rear side bars being rotatable with respect to the top bar". That is not true, at least not the wording is not true. Looking at Figures 1-5 and reading the specification, the each of the "leg structures" are made up of a "top bar 22", a "front side bar 24", and a "rear side bar 26" to form a single, unitary one-piece "leg structure". The "front side bar 24" and the "rear side bar 26" is fixed to the "top bar 22" and do not move at al. There is no pivoting or rotating movement of the "front and rear side bars 24, 26" with respect to the "top bar 22". So what is the Applicant referring to when he defines that "the front and rear side bars being rotatable with respect to the top bar"? Applicant attempts to explain this in paragraph [0023] by stating that the "side bars 24,26 are rotatably fit into opposite ends of the tubular top bar 22. This allows for rotation of the side bars 24,26 with respect to the top bar 22 when the ground members are disengaged from the side bars 24,26." However, that rotatability is not shown. The only structures that appears to be rotatable,

Application/Control Number: 10/675,956

Art Unit: 3636

or more specifially pivotal, with respect to another structure, are the "top bars 22" with respect to the "ring 18". Any claimed subject matter must be shown or the subject matter removed from the claim(s). The leg structures themselves are pivotal with respect to the to the "ring 18" but the "side bars 24,26" are not rotatable with respect to the "top bars 22". It seems the Applicant needs to amend paragraphs [0023] and [0026] to read that the "top bars 22" are rotatable about the "ring 18" Also, it does appear that the Applicant has made clear just how these "top bars 22" are rotatable about the ring. If Applicant clarifies this issue, he should remember that no new matter should be added to the claim(s) or the specification.

The aforementioned problems render the claims vague and indefinite.

Clarification and/or correction is required.

Claims 1-5 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Brown, Bierman, May et al, Le Gal, Geldbaugh, Schwartzkopf et al, Lin, Buono, Tseng, Chen, Zheng, Meinunger, and Rene teach structures similar to the present invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/675,956

Art Unit: 3636

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rodney B. White whose telephone number is (703) 308-2276.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Cuomo can be reached on (703) 308-0827. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Rodney B. White, Patent examiner Art Unit 3636 June 9, 2004

eminer Exeminer